

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/644,519	08/20/2003	Yu-Chou Lee	250323-1020	5969	
24504 750	7590 08/09/2005		EXAMINER		
THOMAS, KAYDEN, HORSTEMEYER & RISLEY, LLP			NGUYEN, TU T		
100 GALLERIA PARKWAY, NW STE 1750		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
ATLANTA, GA 30339-5948			2877		
			DATE MAILED: 08/09/2009	DATE MAILED: 08/09/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/644,519	LEE ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Tu T. Nguyen	2877			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
•=		action is non-final.				
3)	,—					
Disposition of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)🛛	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>20 August 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)  objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ■ All b) ■ Some * c) ■ None of:  1. ■ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ■ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ■ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachmen	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2)  Notic 3) Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da				

Application/Control Number: 10/644,519

Art Unit: 2877

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant Admitted Prior Art (AAPA hereinafter) (fig1) in view of Roessner (5,657,075).

With respect to claim 1, AAPA discloses a defect identifying apparatus for inspecting defects on an LCD substrate 12 (fig 1). The defect identifying apparatus comprises: a microscope, including at least one objective lens 10 (fig 1) and a base.

AAPA does not disclose a defect marker fixed to the base, wherein said defect marker locates a defect. Roessner discloses an apparatus for locating and marking defects on a substrate. The apparatus comprises: an ink marker fixed to an inspection head (column 2, lines 20-42). It would have been obvious to modify AAPA with the ink marker as taught by Roessner in column 2, lines 20-42 to mark the defects. Further, it would have been obvious to fix Roessner's marker to the base as claimed to use the same controller for controlling both inspection head and the marker as taught by Roessner in column 2, lines 35-43.

With respect to claims 2,7, Roessner does not disclose the location of the marking tip. However, it would have been obvious to modify the location of Roessner's

Art Unit: 2877

marking tip at the location at claimed to mark the defects easier. Since the general conditions of the invention were disclosed by the prior arts, modifying the location of the marking tip for inspecting different shape of substrates involve only routine skill in the art.

With respect to claim 3, Roessner discloses using an ink marker to mark the defects. However, Roessner does not disclose using an ink jet as claimed. The claimed ink jet would have been known in the art. It would have been obvious to modify Roessner with the known ink jet to mark the defects more accurate.

With respect to claim 4, refer to discussion in claim 1 above for the claimed inspection apparatus and refer to claim 3 above for the ink jet.

With respect to claims 5-6, AAPA discloses using a microscope including an objective lens 10 (fig 1) for inspection apparatus.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tu T. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-2424. The examiner can normally be reached on T-F 7:30-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Toatley Jr. can be reached on (571) 272-2800 Ext. 77. The fax

Application/Control Number: 10/644,519 Page 4

Art Unit: 2877

phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tu T. Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit 2877

07/29/2005